

# The Patriots' Truth

## Flint Hills TEA Party News

See us at McALISTER'S DELI, EVERY WED after 5:30pm ---- *Everyone is welcome, we ARE the Working Group. WELCOME! Monthly meetings, 2<sup>nd</sup> Saturday of each month. Always interesting & informative, join us!! Next meeting: April 13 – 9:30AM, Manhattan City Library Groesbeck Rm. Come to our meetings, we have interesting speakers talk/visit/with us. Come listen & ask questions, express your concerns & views, help US all help each other. We will be voting next week. Our School Boards and city elections are very important! Be sure to vote!! We MUST continue to support our Communities and Schools!! Regardless of what happens in DC we MUST keep God in our Schools and Communities and most of all in our Hearts!! Pray the State Legislature has God in their hearts as they wind up this session. Much is still to be accomplished at the Capital – may God guide them!!*

### COMMUNION ON THE MOON

(This is an article by Eric Metaxas)

I presume that most of us were unaware of this story. I didn't know this, but it's awesome! 43 years ago... guess what happened... many have not heard of this before... Communion on the Moon: July 20, 1969!! Forty-three years ago two human beings changed history by walking on the surface of the moon. But what happened before Buzz Aldrin and Neil Armstrong exited the Lunar Module is perhaps even more amazing, if only because so few people know about it. "I'm talking about the fact that Buzz Aldrin took communion on the surface of the moon. Some months after his return, he wrote about it in Guideposts magazine.

And a few years ago I had the privilege of meeting him myself. I asked him about it and he confirmed the story to me, and I wrote about in my book *Everything You Always Wanted to Know About God (But Were Afraid to Ask)*.

The background to the story is that Aldrin was an elder at his Presbyterian Church in Texas during this period in his life, and knowing that he would soon be doing something unprecedented in human history, he felt he should mark the occasion somehow, and he asked his minister to help him. And so the minister consecrated a communion wafer and a small vial of communion wine. And Buzz Aldrin took them with him out of the Earth's orbit and on to the surface of the moon.

He and Armstrong had only been on the lunar surface for a few minutes when Aldrin made the following public statement: "This is the LM pilot. I'd like to take this opportunity to ask every person listening in, whoever and wherever they may be, to pause for a moment and contemplate the events of the past few hours and to give thanks in his or her own way." He then ended radio communication and there, on the silent surface of the moon, 250,000 miles from home, he read a verse from the Gospel of John, and he took communion. Here is his own account of what happened:

"In the radio blackout, I opened the little plastic packages which contained the bread and the wine. I poured the wine into the chalice our church had given me. In the one-sixth gravity of the moon, the wine slowly curled and gracefully came up the side of the cup. Then I read the scripture, 'I am the vine, you are the branches. Whosoever abides in me will bring forth much fruit. Apart from me you can do nothing.'

"I had intended to read my communion passage back to earth, but at the last minute [they] had requested that I not do this. NASA was already embroiled in a legal battle with Madelyn Murray O'Hare, the celebrated opponent of religion, over the Apollo 8 crew reading from Genesis while orbiting the moon at Christmas. I agreed reluctantly. "I ate the tiny Host and swallowed the wine. I gave thanks for the intelligence and spirit that had brought two young pilots to the Sea of Tranquility. It was interesting for me to think: the very first liquid ever poured on the moon, and the very first food eaten there, were the communion elements."

And of course, it's interesting to think that some of the first words spoken on the moon were the words of Jesus Christ, who made the Earth and the moon - and Who, in the immortal words of Dante, is Himself the "Love that moves the Sun and other stars."

How many of you knew this? Too bad this type news doesn't travel as fast as the bad does... share it if you've felt God's love.

*All those years ago – I was not aware, but am glad to know that GOD was there even more than I knew!!*

## Is history repeating?

No longer requiring history being taught pays a price -- also why it constantly repeats itself as each generation knows it is smarter than the last one. I have heard the same story from many Germans.

This is a SCARY piece of HISTORY. Read & perhaps learn something new from HISTORY and "CHANGE".

Kitty Werthmann is 85 years old

### **America truly is the Greatest Country in the World.**

By: Kitty Werthmann

What I am about to tell you is something you've probably never heard or will ever read in history books.

I believe that I am an eyewitness to history. I cannot tell you that Hitler took Austria by tanks and guns; it would distort history. We elected him by a landslide - 98% of the vote. I've never read that in any American publications. Everyone thinks that Hitler just rolled in with his tanks and took Austria by force.

In 1938, Austria was in deep Depression. Nearly one-third of our workforce was unemployed. We had 25% inflation and 25% bank loan interest rates.

Farmers and business people were declaring bankruptcy daily. Young people were going from house to house begging for food. Not that they didn't want to work; there simply weren't any jobs. My mother was a Christian woman and believed in helping people in need. Every day we cooked a big kettle of soup and baked bread to feed those poor, hungry people - about 30 daily.

The Communist Party and the National Socialist Party were fighting each other. Blocks and blocks of cities like Vienna, Linz, and Graz were destroyed. The people became desperate and petitioned the government to let them decide what kind of government they wanted.

We looked to our neighbour on the north, Germany, where Hitler had been in power since 1933. We had been told that they didn't have unemployment or crime, and they had a high standard of living. Nothing was ever said about persecution of any group -- Jewish or otherwise. We were led to believe that everyone was happy. We wanted the same way of life in Austria. We were promised that a vote for Hitler would mean the end of unemployment and help for the family. Hitler also said that businesses would be assisted, and farmers would get their farms back. Ninety-eight percent of the population voted to annex Austria to Germany and have Hitler for our ruler.

We were overjoyed, and for three days we danced in the streets and had candlelight parades. The new government opened up big field kitchens and everyone was fed.

After the election, German officials were appointed, and like a miracle, we suddenly had law and order. Three or four weeks later, everyone was employed. The government made sure that a lot of work was created through the Public Work Service.

Hitler decided we should have equal rights for women. Before this, it was a custom that married Austrian women did not work outside the home. An able-bodied husband would be looked down on if he couldn't support his family. Many women in the teaching profession were elated that they could retain the jobs they previously had been required to give up for marriage.

### **Hitler Targets Education - Eliminates Religious Instruction for Children:**

Our education was nationalized. I attended a very good public school. The population was predominantly Catholic, so we had religion in our schools. The day we elected Hitler (March 13, 1938), I walked into my schoolroom to find the crucifix replaced by Hitler's picture hanging next to a Nazi flag. Our teacher, a very devout woman, stood up and told the class we wouldn't pray or have religion anymore. Instead, we sang "Deutschland, Deutschland, Uber Alles," and had physical education.

Sunday became National Youth Day with compulsory attendance. Parents were not pleased about the sudden change in curriculum. They were told that if they did not send us, they would receive a stiff letter of warning the first time. The second time they would be fined the equivalent of \$300, and the third time they would be subject to jail. The first two hours consisted of political indoctrination. The rest of the day we had sports. As time went along, we loved it. Oh, we had so much fun and got our sports equipment free. We would go home and gleefully tell our parents about the wonderful time we had.

My mother was very unhappy. When the next term started, she took me out of public school and put me in a convent. I told her she couldn't do that and she told me that someday when I grew up, I would be grateful. There was a very good curriculum, but hardly any fun - no sports, and no political indoctrination. I hated it at first but felt I could tolerate it. Every once in a while, on holidays, I went home. I would go back to my old friends and ask what was going on and what they were doing. Their loose lifestyle was very alarming to me. They lived

without religion. By that time unwed mothers were glorified for having a baby for Hitler. It seemed strange to me that our society changed so suddenly. As time went along, I realized what a great deed my mother did so that I wasn't exposed to that kind of humanistic philosophy.

### **Equal Rights Hits Home:**

In 1939, the war started and a food bank was established. All food was rationed and could only be purchased using food stamps. At the same time, a full-employment law was passed which meant if you didn't work, you didn't get a ration card, and if you didn't have a card, you starved to death. Women who stayed home to raise their families didn't have any marketable skills and often had to take jobs more suited for men.

Soon after this, the draft was implemented. It was compulsory for young people, male and female, to give one year to the labour corps. During the day, the girls worked on the farms, and at night they returned to their barracks for military training just like the boys. They were trained to be anti-aircraft gunners and participated in the signal corps. After the labour corps, they were not discharged but were used in the front lines. When I go back to Austria to visit my family and friends, most of these women are emotional cripples because they just were not equipped to handle the horrors of combat. Three months before I turned 18, I was severely injured in an air raid attack. I nearly had a leg amputated, so I was spared having to go into the labor corps and into military service.

### **Hitler Restructured the Family Through Daycare:**

When the mothers had to go out into the work force, the government immediately established child care centers. You could take your children ages 4 weeks to school age and leave them there around-the-clock, 7 days a week, under the total care of the government. The state raised a whole generation of children. There were no motherly women to take care of the children, just people highly trained in child psychology. By this time, no one talked about equal rights. We knew we had been had.

### **Health Care and Small Business Suffer Under Government Controls:**

**Before Hitler, we had very good medical care.** Many American doctors trained at the University of Vienna. After Hitler, health care was socialized, free for everyone. Doctors were salaried by the government. The problem was, since it was free, the people were going to the doctors for everything. When the good doctor arrived at his office at 8am, 40 people were already waiting and, at the same time, the hospitals were full. If you needed elective surgery, you had to wait a year or two for your turn. There was **no money for research** as it was poured into socialized medicine. Research at the medical schools literally stopped, **so the best doctors left Austria and emigrated to other countries.**

**As for healthcare, our tax rates went up to 80% of our income.** Newlyweds immediately received a \$1,000 loan from the government to establish a household. We had big programs for families. All day care and education were free. High schools were taken over by the government and college tuition was subsidized. Everyone was entitled to free handouts, such as food stamps, clothing, and housing.

We had another agency designed to monitor business. My brother-in-law owned a restaurant that had square tables. Government officials told him he had to replace them with round tables because people might bump themselves on the corners. Then they said he had to have additional bathroom facilities. It was just a small dairy business with a snack bar. He couldn't meet all the demands. Soon, he went out of business. If the government owned the large businesses and not many small ones existed, it could be in control.

We had consumer protection. We were told how to shop and what to buy. Free enterprise was essentially abolished. We had a planning agency specially designed for farmers. The agents would go to the farms, count the livestock, then tell the farmers what to produce, and how to produce it.

### **"Mercy Killing" Redefined:**

In 1944, I was a student teacher in a small village in the Alps. The villagers were surrounded by mountain passes which, in the winter, were closed off with snow, causing people to be isolated. So people intermarried and offspring were sometimes retarded. When I arrived, I was told there were 15 mentally retarded adults, but they were all useful and did good manual work. I knew one, named Vincent, very well. He was a janitor of the school. One day I looked out the window and saw Vincent and others getting into a van. I asked my superior where they were going. She said to an institution where the State Health Department would teach them a trade, and to read and write. The families were required to sign papers with a little clause that they could not visit for 6 months. They were told visits would interfere with the program and might cause homesickness.

As time passed, letters started to dribble back saying these people died a natural, merciful death. The villagers were not fooled. We suspected what was happening. Those people left in excellent physical health and all died within 6 months. We called this euthanasia.

## The Final Steps - Gun Laws:

**Next came gun registration.** People were getting injured by guns. Hitler said that the real way to catch criminals (we still had a few) was by matching serial numbers on guns. Most citizens were law abiding and dutifully marched to the police station to register their firearms. Not long after-wards, the police said that it was best for everyone to turn in their guns. The authorities already knew who had them, so it was futile not to comply voluntarily.

**No more freedom of speech.** Anyone who said something against the government was taken away. We knew many people who were arrested, not only Jews, but also priests and ministers who spoke up.

Totalitarianism didn't come quickly, it took 5 years from 1938 until 1943, to realize full dictatorship in Austria. Had it happened overnight, my countrymen would have fought to the last breath. Instead, we had creeping gradualism. Now our only weapons were broom handles. The whole idea sounds almost unbelievable that the state, little by little, eroded our freedom.

**After World War II, Russian troops occupied Austria.** Women were raped, preteen to elderly. The press never wrote about this either. When the Soviets left in 1955, they took everything that they could, dismantling whole factories in the process. They sawed down whole orchards of fruit, and what they couldn't destroy, they burned. We called it The Burned Earth. Most of the population barricaded themselves in their houses. Women hid in their cellars for 6 weeks as the troops mobilized. Those who couldn't, paid the price. There is a monument in Vienna today, dedicated to those women who were massacred by the Russians. This is an eyewitness account.

"It's true, those of us who sailed past the Statue of Liberty came to a country of unbelievable freedom and opportunity.

**America Truly is the Greatest Country in the World -- Don't Let Freedom Slip Away!  
"After America, There is No Place to Go"**

**Please forward this message to other voters who may not have it.**

The author of this article lives in South Dakota and is very active in attempting to maintain our freedom. I encourage everybody to read this article and pass it along. I see so many parallels in this country, are we going to sit by and watch it happen? Spread the word; also contact your Congressional reps; vote them out if they don't do what they should. If you don't want to be bothered, then you're part of the problem! Google Kitty Werthmann and you will see articles and videos.

**Do you need to read this twice to understand the message? We, citizens of the USA, are receiving a message. We need to take heed, think about it, and respond to protect all Citizens. We Must Protect Ourselves from Ourselves – From our Government!! This IS NOT a joke!! Stop and think about events in the last 50 years!!**

---

### WND EXCLUSIVE

#### U.S. MARINES RATTLED BY BULLET SHORTAGE

Rank and file told to conserve as Big Sis buys by the billion

by GARTH KANT

Garth Kant is a WND staff writer. Previously, he spent five years writing, copy-editing and producing at "CNN Headline News," three years writing, copy-editing and training writers at MSNBC, and also served several local TV newsrooms as producer, executive producer and assistant news director. He is the author of the McGraw-Hill textbook, "How to Write Television News."

At least one branch of the U.S. military is scrimping and saving every bullet it can while the Department of Homeland Security is on a bullet-buying spree.

Marine Corps Commandant James F. Amos blames sequester budget cuts.

In a video to Marines, he says, "I ask you to save every round, every gallon of gas, that you take every single aspect, or opportunity, in training to get the most bang for the buck."

Amos said it's "no time to do business as usual."

Things have changed. The landscape has changed," he said. "I need you to be conservative in the way we do business."

Sequester cuts do not seem to have slowed down the massive purchase of bullets by DHS. [WND has been closely following the department's big buildup of ammo](#), reporting DHS bought more than 1.6 billion rounds over the last year.

Members of Congress are demanding the Obama administration explain why it is stockpiling a huge arsenal of ammunition and weapons.

“They have no answer for that question. They refuse to answer to answer that,” said Rep. Tim Huelskamp, R-Kan.

Rep. Leonard Lance, R-N.J., said he wants a “full explanation.”

DHS has argued it is buying in bulk to save money, explaining it uses as many as 15 million rounds a year for training law enforcement agents. But the 1.6 billion rounds would be enough for more than 100 years of training.

Additionally, the DHS is buying hollow-point bullets, which experts say are *rarely used for training exercises*. The DHS ordered 240,000 hollow-point rounds last month and has now placed an order for *360,000 more rounds*.

It’s not just the Marines who are struggling to get by. Even *local law enforcement agencies are having a tough time finding ammo*.

Former Alaska Gov. Sarah Palin said she believes the federal government is building an arsenal to prepare for civil unrest if the country goes bankrupt.

Retired *U.S. Army Captain Terry M. Hestilow has written a letter to Sen. John Cornyn, R-Texas*, warning that “the recent appropriation of weapons by the Department of Homeland Security (DHS) that can only be understood as a bold threat of war by that agency, and the Obama administration, against the citizens of the United States of America.

Read more at <http://www.wnd.com/2013/03/u-s-marines-rattled-by-bullet-shortage/#ZfTIT25obyeskcg99>

---

*I’m positive there is no life on other planets -- if there were, America would be sending them financial aid!!!!!!!!!!* *Just a guess!!*

---

## **GOVT. SPENDS MORE ON DISABILITY THAN FOOD STAMPS, WELFARE COMBINED**

by *WYNTON HALL* 25 Mar 2013

In an eye-opening *six-month investigation* into America’s disability program, Planet Money reporter Chana Joffe-Walt uncovered a “disability industrial complex” fraught with fraud that churns out *14 million* checks every month to citizens the government has deemed disabled.

“Since the economy began its slow, slow recovery in late 2009, we’ve been averaging about 150,000 jobs created per month,” said Joffe-Walt in a Public Radio International (PRI) “This American Life” interview. “In that same period every month, almost 250,000 people have been applying for disability.”

Among Joffe-Walt’s findings are the following facts:

- The federal government spends more money each year on cash payments for disabled former workers than it does on food stamps and welfare combined; America’s two largest disability programs, including health care for disabled workers, costs taxpayers \$260 billion a year. In some parts of the country, such as Hale County, Alabama, one out of every four working-age adults collects a disability check.
- As of 2011, 33.8% of newly diagnosed disabled workers cited “back pain and other musculoskeletal problems” as their reason for being unable to work. In 1961, the top reason for being disabled was “heart disease, stroke.” Disabled workers do not get counted in the unemployment figures. If they did, the numbers would be far higher. Less than 1% of people who went on disability at the beginning of 2011 have returned to the workforce.
- The Supplemental Security Income (SSI) program — which covers kids and adults — has exploded. SSI is now seven times larger than it was 30 years ago.

The report suggests that the much-touted Welfare to Work policies of the 1990s that appeared to successfully move welfare recipients off the public dole may have been a mirage. States have figured out that shifting people from welfare to disability frees up substantial funds, as states have to pay the costs of welfare, but the federal government picks up the tab for disability.

“That’s a kind of ugly secret of the American labor market,” said MIT economist David Autor. “Part of the reason our unemployment rates have been low, until recently, is that a lot of people who would have trouble finding jobs are on a different program.”

Joffe-Walt says disability has “become a de facto welfare program for people without a lot of education or job skills.” The reporter notes that the disability program “wasn’t supposed to serve this purpose; it’s not a retraining

program designed to get people back onto their feet.”

According to Social Security chief actuary Steve Goss, disability insurance program reserves will run out of money in 2016.

---

## Feds Spending \$876,752 to Study Snail Reproduction While Closing Air Traffic Control Towers

Posted 3 hours ago by Dave Jolly filed under [Economy](#), [Evolution](#), [Liberalism](#), [Politics](#)

If you really want to understand the wasteful spending of our federal government, start looking at all of the millions of dollars given away as grants. Just last week I reported on \$384,949 of taxpayer money that went to a [grant to study duck penises](#).

Now I hear about another [federal grant of \\$876,752 to study the benefits of snail sex](#) in New Zealand mud snails. The focus on the study is to determine which is best for the snail – to reproduce sexually or asexually. These snails can reproduce via both methods. By studying which is best for the snail, the researchers are hoping to gain more understanding on why so many plants and animals reproduce sexually.

I know this brings up all kinds of jokes and cartoon scenarios, but these researchers are serious about their work. According to the [abstract of the study](#):

“Sexual reproduction is more costly than asexual reproduction, yet nearly all organisms reproduce sexually at least some of the time. Why is sexual reproduction so common despite its costs.”

“This project will use a different organism, *Potamopyrgus antipodarum*, a New Zealand snail, which has both sexual and independently-derived asexual lineages that make it ideally suited to address fundamental evolutionary questions of how genes and genomes evolve in the absence of sexual reproduction.”

The grant was obtained through the National Science Foundation in 2011. To date, the researchers have received \$502,357 and if not stopped could pay out a grand total of \$876,752 by 2015. Over three-quarters of a million dollars to study the sexual habits of one species of snail is preposterous and reprehensible in the wake of the current financial crisis.

I’m a biologist by training and always loved doing research, but I would never dream of taking this kind of money while peoples’ lives are being endangered by the closing of air traffic control towers around the nation. When these researchers are watching their snails enjoying themselves, are they thinking about the federal workers that are losing up to 20+ days of pay through furloughs?

This is why I strongly abdicating that a panel of regular civilians like you and I be given the job of reviewing every penny spent by the federal government and then reporting that to the general population. The panel would then have the authority to question or halt spending on certain things pending a more thorough review. Anything found like the study of the snails and ducks that are not critical to the survival and safety of our nation, would have their funding cut immediately.

Such a panel could bring government spending and even the size of government back under control. Total government expenditures would not be allowed to exceed the total government income. We could once again have a balanced budget, live within our means and stop borrowing from our enemies like China.

What better example do you need for the uncaring stupidity of our President and his cronies and of the financial waste in the USA? Any educated, intelligent person who actually WANTED to bring financial responsibility to a country could and would do so. Personally, I don’t think it is a lack of intelligence – it is a matter of not *caring or wanting* to solve the problem. Realize there are many others working WITH this President that are NOT stupid they are *working/striving* to destroy our beloved country. IF WE don’t work hard and pay attention they WILL succeed. While it seems useless, remember, GOD helps those who help themselves. GOD be with us!

Read more: <http://politicaloutcast.com/2013/03/feds-spend-876752-snail-reproduction-study-closing-air-traffic-control-towers/#ixzz2P2AhPkzm>

---

I was combing thru the collection of articles looking for one to print when I came across one sent to me by a fellow FHTP member. It pretty much says it all.

## COULTER: WE KNOW HOW TO STOP SCHOOL SHOOTINGS

By: Ann Coulter on 12/19/2012 at 07:05 PM

In the wake of a monstrous crime like a madman’s mass murder of defenseless women and children at the Newtown CT elementary school, the nation’s attention is riveted on what could have been done to prevent such a massacre.

Luckily, some years ago, two famed economists, William Landes at the University of Chicago and John Lott at Yale, conducted a massive study of multiple victim public shootings in the United States between 1977 and

1995 to see how various legal changes affected their frequency and death toll.

Landes and Lott examined many of the very policies being proposed right now in response to the Connecticut massacre: waiting periods and background checks for guns, the death penalty and increased penalties for committing a crime with a gun.

None of these policies had any effect on the frequency of, or carnage from, multiple-victim shootings. (I note that they did not look at reforming our lax mental health laws, presumably because the ACLU is working to keep dangerous nuts on the street in all 50 states.)

Only one public policy has ever been shown to reduce the death rate from such crimes: concealed-carry laws.

The effect of concealed-carry laws in deterring mass public shootings was even greater than the impact of such laws on the murder rate generally.

Someone planning to commit a single murder in a concealed-carry state only has to weigh the odds of one person being armed. But a criminal planning to commit murder in a public place has to worry that anyone in the entire area might have a gun.

You will notice that most multiple-victim shootings occur in “gun-free zones” — even within states that have concealed-carry laws: public schools, churches, Sikh temples, post offices, the movie theater where James Holmes committed mass murder, and the Portland OR mall where a nut starting gunning down shoppers a few weeks ago.

Guns were banned in all these places. Mass killers may be crazy, but they’re not stupid.

If the deterrent effect of concealed-carry laws seems surprising to you, that’s because the media hide stories of armed citizens stopping mass shooters. At the Portland shooting, for example, no explanation was given for the amazing fact that the assailant managed to kill only two people in the mall during the busy Christmas season.

It turns out, concealed-carry-holder Nick Meli hadn’t noticed that the mall was a gun-free zone. He pointed his (otherwise legal) gun at the shooter as he paused to reload, and the next shot was the attempted mass murderer killing himself. (Meli aimed, but didn’t shoot, because there were bystanders behind the shooter.)

In a nonsense “study” going around the Internet right now, Mother Jones magazine claims to have produced its own study of all public shootings in the last 30 years and concludes: “In not a single case was the killing stopped by a civilian using a gun.”

This will come as a shock to people who know something about the subject.

The magazine reaches its conclusion by simply excluding all cases where an armed civilian stopped the shooter: They looked only at public shootings where four or more people were killed, i.e., the ones where the shooter wasn’t stopped.

If we care about reducing the number of people killed in mass shootings, shouldn’t we pay particular attention to the cases where the aspiring mass murderer was prevented from getting off more than a couple rounds?

It would be like testing the effectiveness of weed killers, but refusing to consider any cases where the weeds died.

In addition to the Portland mall case, here are a few more examples excluded by the Mother Jones methodology:

– Mayan Palace Theater, San Antonio, Texas, this week: Jesus Manuel Garcia shoots at a movie theater, a police car and bystanders from the nearby China Garden restaurant; as he enters the movie theater, guns blazing, an armed off-duty cop shoots Garcia four times, stopping the attack. Total dead: Zero.

– Winnemucca, Nev., 2008: Ernesto Villagomez opens fire in a crowded restaurant; concealed carry permit-holder shoots him dead. Total dead: Two. (I’m excluding the shooters’ deaths in these examples.)

– Appalachian School of Law, 2002: Crazy immigrant shoots the dean and a professor, then begins shooting students; as he goes for more ammunition, two armed students point their guns at him, allowing a third to tackle him. Total dead: Three.

– Santee, Calif., 2001: Student begins shooting his classmates — as well as the “trained campus supervisor”; an off-duty cop who happened to be bringing his daughter to school that day points his gun at the shooter, holding him until more police arrive. Total dead: Two.

– Pearl High School, Mississippi, 1997: After shooting several people at his high school, student heads for the junior high school; assistant principal Joel Myrick retrieves a .45 pistol from his car and points it at the gunman’s head, ending the murder spree. Total dead: Two.

– Edinboro, Pa., 1998: A student shoots up a junior high school dance being held at a restaurant; restaurant owner pulls out his shotgun and stops the gunman. Total dead: One.

By contrast, the shootings in gun-free zones invariably result in far higher casualty figures — Sikh temple,

Oak Creek WI (six dead); Virginia Tech, Blacksburg VA (32 dead); Columbine High School, Columbine CO (12 dead); Amish school, Lancaster County PA (five little girls killed); public school, Craighead County AR (five killed, including four little girls).

All these took place in gun-free zones, resulting in lots of people getting killed – and thereby warranting inclusion in the Mother Jones study.

If what we care about is saving the lives of innocent human beings by reducing the number of mass public shootings and the deaths they cause, only one policy has ever been shown to work: concealed-carry laws. On the other hand, if what we care about is self-indulgent grandstanding, and to hell with dozens of innocent children being murdered in cold blood, try the other policies.

**DeepWheat** frank907 • 2 days ago

*"You can lead a lib to logic, but you cannot make it think."* ~ DeepWheat

THANKS, DeepWheat – I need all the help I can get!! I pray that by the time you get this Newsletter our State Senators Wagle & Bruce will have come to their senses & allowed the entire Senate to vote on HB 2199. These two are such a hindrance we need to work on taking them out of Senate leadership. Leadership in the State Senate desperately NEEDS to be those who serve the people NOT kissing up to the Governor. Governor Switchback is in cahoots with the Feds & is against HB 2199 – HMMMMM, could that be because it makes the Feds not like us? Do we care what the Feds think of us as we stop them in their tracks? Oh, they might not want to give us money that allows them to control us. If the Legislators passed bills that would actually work for Taxes (like get rid of income tax – **pass Fair Tax**) & a working Budget (taking care of ourselves & getting rid of all of the Federal mandates) we wouldn't need outside money. Golly, darn, what a new & different idea – take care of ourselves; not kiss up to someone else. Most Federal monies only start programs – then when we are in too deep to get out, the Feds stop giving their money (which is ours to begin with) & leave us hanging. Do we want to be told how & what our kids are allowed to learn in school? Don't we have good, intelligent teachers & administrators? We need to teach our kids MORE than the mandates!!

---

## The following was taken from Congresswoman Lynn Jenkins Weekly Update of March 31, 2013 -- Tax Reform Update

As I travel around Eastern Kansas during this district work period, I have heard a lot of concerns about the economy, taxes in particular. Over the past month, members of the House Ways and Means Committee have dedicated our time to making progress toward achieving comprehensive, pro-growth tax reform. The goal of tax reform is to make the tax code simpler, fairer, and reduce costs and frustration faced by individuals, families and businesses on April 15th. As a component of this effort, I am the Chair of the Income and Tax Distribution Tax Reform Working Group, one of the 11 bipartisan tax reform groups, formed by the Ways and Means Committee in February. Our group is specifically focused on digging into the nuts and bolts of tax policy and how the tax burden affects each and every taxpayer. We are taking a broad look at the tax system in order to better understand important questions such as: who is paying taxes, who is not, does the current tax code encourage or discourage economic growth, and how do all the credits and deductions affect real people and business owners. In order to make tax reform a reality and ensure that it works for people, and not against them, Congress needs to hear directly from you about how a simpler, fairer, more transparent tax code would benefit folks. Now is your chance to share your thoughts with me on tax reform. I welcome your input at [tax.reform@mail.house.gov](mailto:tax.reform@mail.house.gov). Comments that are received will be posted on the House Ways and Means Committee's website at [http://jenkins.congressnewsletter.net/mail/util.cfm?mailaction=clickthru&gpiv=2100101468.71242.90&gen=1&mailing\\_linkid=17717](http://jenkins.congressnewsletter.net/mail/util.cfm?mailaction=clickthru&gpiv=2100101468.71242.90&gen=1&mailing_linkid=17717). We are accepting public comments until April 15th. I would suggest that **IF YOU**, as an individual, are interested in getting rid of "income tax" you should send that message to Rep. Jenkins. She is familiar with Fair Tax and would understand what your telling her if you proposed our Country going to the Fair Tax way of taxing. If you are not familiar with this tax you can go to the Manhattan Library and check it out or go online and purchase the books (I think the cost was \$4-5), there are two small, short books explaining the whole Fair Tax System, which is a consumption tax.

---

How many of you read the Manhattan Mercury (and we don't blame you if you don't) but on March 28<sup>th</sup> there was a Mercury written editorial titled "Senate income tax bill is too costly – Relying on high sales tax is flawed policy." They spoke of the Gov. Brownback supported tax bill that has gone thru Legislature this session not being a good one because it needs an improved economy to produce. To go along with an unworkable tax bill is the equally disabled budget bill the Governor put forth. This was accomplished by a Legislature that did what the Governor

ask them to. It wasn't necessary for them to do that because (1) The Fair Tax bill was there – all they had to do was vote it in. The Governor's budget **might** work with a workable Tax Bill.

---

**The Examiner** on April 1, 2013 at 6:31pm

Sen. John McCain R-AZ, said Monday he won't stop using the term "illegal immigrant," when asked at a Phoenix town hall to stop using "the 'I' word."

A 25-year old "dreamer" asked McCain to instead use a phrase like "undocumented immigrant," the [Arizona Republic](#) reported today.

"Someone who crosses our borders illegally is here illegally," McCain said. "You can call it whatever you want to, but it's illegal. I think there's a big difference between someone who does something that's illegal and someone who's undocumented. I'll continue to call it illegal."

The White House, on the other hand, has adopted the term "undocumented" in its push for immigration reform.

"America's immigration system is broken," the White House's page on the topic says. "Too many employers game the system by hiring undocumented workers and there are 11 million people living in the shadows. Neither is good for the economy or the country."

The White House linked to the page in an email about a naturalization ceremony on Monday, which President Obama used as an opportunity to push Congress to pass immigration reform.

"Immigration makes us stronger," Obama said. "After avoiding the problem for years, the time has come to fix it once and for all. The time has come for a comprehensive, sensible immigration reform." **I have to agree with Obama (that doesn't happen very often). However, the way I agree is that exactly what he said is true – the way he wants to "fix" it I don't agree with.**

---

April 4, 2013

Dear Friend,

Last night at a ritzy California fundraiser for the super-rich, Obama said **"It would be a whole lot easier to govern if I had Nancy Pelosi as speaker."**

How scary. And for once, **I agree with the President.**

Yes, it would be easier to pass amnesty for illegal aliens. Yes, it would be easier to pass a radical carbon tax driving up our gas prices. Yes, it would be easier to ramp up government spending even more. Yes, it would be easier to attack the 2nd Amendment. All if Nancy Pelosi would be Speaker again.

Remember what happened the last time the Democrats ran everything in Washington: ObamaCare. Trillion-dollar-stimulus. Unprecedented new regulations and government control of every sector of the economy.

We can't let that happen again. We can't let Obama pick the next Speaker of the House. We can't let the radical left - together with the compliant, liberal media - take full control of Washington.

**For the sake of our children and grandchildren, we can't let Nancy Pelosi become Speaker ever again!**

Sincerely,

Congressman Tim Huelskamp

**MANY THANKS TO CONGRESSMAN TIM HUELSKAMP!!! IT IS SO GOOD TO KNOW WE CAN DEPEND ON HIM TO VOTE FOR US AND OUR CONSTITUTION!! RECENTLY WE THANKED OUR SENATORS - ROBERTS & MORAN - FOR VOTING AGAINST THE UN ARMS TRADE TREATY. READ THE NEXT ARTICLE TO SEE IT!!**

---

Those of you who live in the 378 School District need to be checking out the Bond Issue the sitting School Board is pushing. In the election last Tuesday, 3 Conservatives were elected. However, in a little-published board meeting it was decided that the Bond issue would be voted on in June – DAYS before the new Board members take office. Not everyone living in the District will be voting – as I understand it, only land OWNERS in the District will have the privilege of voting. The way the Bond was explained to me, it is to enlarge and add to facilities at the High School as well as move the Junior High students to the High School. The Grade School in Riley will receive NO REPAIRS or IMPROVEMENTS. Even though the Grade School is by far the oldest buildings housing students. Attend Board meetings – talk to the teachers, boards member, check out the intentions – EDUCATE YOURSELVES!!!! Check your taxes and figure out how much they will go up IF the Bond issue passes!!

Sunday, 24 March 2013 07:00

# Senate Votes to Keep U.S. Out of UN Arms Trade Treaty

Written by [Joe Wolverton, II, J.D.](#)

In the pre-dawn hours Saturday, the Senate approved a measure "to uphold Second Amendment rights and prevent the United States from entering into the United Nations Arms Trade Treaty."

By a vote of 53-46, the Senate passed the [amendment to the budget bill](#) sponsored by Senator Jim Inhofe (R-Okla.).

This reporter is in New York covering the negotiations at the UN aimed at drafting a treaty calling for the eradication of small arms trade, sale, and transfer by anyone other than UN-approved governments.



"We're negotiating a treaty that cedes our authority to have trade agreements with our allies in terms of trading arms," Inhofe said before the vote on his amendment. "This is probably the last time this year that you'll be able to vote for your Second Amendment rights."

[According to a story in \*The Hill\*](#), Senator Patrick Leahy (D-Vt.) proposed his own amendment "that clarified that under current U.S. law, treaties don't trump the Constitution and that the United States should not agree to any arms treaty that violates the Second Amendment rights." Leahy's amendment also passed.

A [resolution of similar intent sponsored by Senator Jerry Moran](#) (R-Kan.) is currently pending before the Senate Foreign Relations Committee.

Moran's measure declares that it is the sense of Congress that:

the President should not sign the Arms Trade Treaty, and that, if he transmits the treaty with his signature to the Senate, the Senate should not ratify the Arms Trade Treaty; and

until the Arms Trade Treaty has been signed by the President, received the advice and consent of the Senate, and has been the subject of implementing legislation by Congress, no Federal funds should be appropriated or authorized to implement the Arms Trade Treaty, or any similar agreement, or to conduct activities relevant to the Arms Trade Treaty, or any similar agreement.

Representative Mike Kelly (R-Penn.) has offered [a companion measure in the House](#).

Both the Moran and Kelly resolutions declare that the Arms Trade Treaty "poses significant risks to the national security, foreign policy, and economic interests of the United States as well as to the constitutional rights of United States citizens and United States sovereignty."

The measures also points out that UN gun grab "fails to expressly recognize the fundamental, individual right to keep and to bear arms and the individual right of personal self-defense, as well as the legitimacy of hunting, sports shooting, and other lawful activities pertaining to the private ownership of firearms and related materials, and thus risks infringing on freedoms protected by the Second Amendment."

As [The New American has reported](#) from the United Nations last week, negotiators at the [Arms Trade Treaty conference](#) are planning to effectively repeal the Second Amendment by replacing the Constitution with the UN Charter and by replacing God with government as the source of all rights, including the right to keep and bear arms.

Principally, this treaty would eradicate the Second Amendment in two ways: first, by mandating that state signatories create a registry of gun owners, manufacturers, sellers, and traders; second, by making it nearly impossible for civilians to purchase

# When do you people wake the hell up??!

By Larry Tawney of the Flint Hills TEA Party

The only thing that didn't happen to the citizens of this country last week --- was their hair didn't catch on fire.

The U.S. Senate voted to give away our constitutional rights to the United Nations and it only lost by 7 votes. SEVEN (7) seated Democrats held back --- because they were up for re-election.

I am speaking about the vote on Former Secretary of State Hillary Clinton's vile, treasonous UN ARMS TRADE TREATY.

The UN ARMS TRADE TREATY would give control of our Constitution's 2<sup>nd</sup> Amendment (our gun rights) over to the United Nations.

What part of this does not violate 2<sup>nd</sup> amendment wording that says, "... the right of the people to keep and bear Arms, **shall not be infringed.**"

I am now willing to believe that this is the same shot heard around the world at Lexington Green over 200+ years ago, only now in the future. I foresee these events as the harbinger of revolution. Where is the outrage of my fellow citizens?

Here in the Home state, the House and Senate have put forth concurrent resolutions (HCR 5016) (SCR 1613) calling for an Article V, Constitutional Convention. The chilling threat, not only our state is doing this but others are doing likewise.

The legislative resolutions are only meant to "send a shot across the bow" of the Federal government. Yes, that same U.S. Federal Government that just voted to give away the right of every man, woman and child to a foreign entity... just 7 votes short of doing so.

I really don't hear much in the way of any outcry in the public over any of these treasonous actions by our elected government officials.

I fear not my government, so much, as the deafening silence and lack of action of "WE the PEOPLE".

Yes, that's you my fellow citizens! It will not be our form of government at fault. It is at our feet that the blame shall lie. We will be at fault because we did not hold dear nor defend our God given rights as "We the People".

I beg you now, especially now, to open your eyes and raise your voices. I, myself, go to prepare for me and mine the right to remain free. It is my civil right to protect myself, my family along with my property from traitors within government and without.

My parting thought is this: Honors to those if their ideas and actions work; but curse and damnation to the depths of hell if they don't... for surely they will have done that to every free man, woman and child of this great nation.

## **IGNORANCE IS NOT AN HONORABLE DEFENSE...**

---

### **The Hill's Global Affairs**

#### **UN Approval of Arms Trade Treaty Sets up Obama, Senate Showdown**

By Julian Pecquet - 4/2/13 at 2:31pm

The Obama administration defied a majority of the Senate on Tuesday by voting to approve a United Nations treaty on the trade of small arms and other conventional weapons.

The treaty, overwhelmingly approved by the U.N., requires countries to create internal mechanisms to ensure that their arms exports aren't likely to be used to harm civilians or violate human rights laws.

President Obama is expected to sign the treaty within the next few months, but it faces a tough road to win the two-thirds majority support needed in the Senate for approval.

It is opposed by the National Rifle Association, which argues the accord violates the

Second Amendment by regulating small arms, such as rifles and handguns, and calling for the creation of an “end-user registry.”

Fifty-three senators voiced their disapproval late last month by voting in favor of a nonbinding amendment to a Senate budget resolution to stop the U.S. from entering the treaty.

The NRA is pushing a separate resolution from Sen. Jerry Moran (R-KS) expressing the Senate’s opposition to the treaty.

“The U.N. Arms Trade Treaty that passed in the General Assembly today would require the United States to implement gun-control legislation as required by the treaty, which could supersede the laws our elected officials have already put into place,” said Sen. James Inhofe (R-OK), who sponsored the budget amendment.

“It’s time the Obama administration recognizes it is already a non-starter, and Americans will not stand for internationalists limiting and infringing upon their Constitutional rights.”

Texas Attorney General Greg Abbott, a Republican, warned Obama not to sign it. “If you sign it, and if the U.S. Senate ratifies the treaty, Texas will lead the charge to have the treaty overturned in court as a violation of the U.S. Constitution,” Abbott wrote to the president.

Administration officials hailed passage of the treaty, which Secretary of State John Kerry said would strengthen global security while protecting the rights of countries to conduct “legitimate” arms trade.

White House spokesman Jay Carney said the administration was “pleased” with the passage, but wouldn’t comment on when Obama would sign it.

“As is the case with all treaties of this nature, we will follow the normal procedures to conduct a thorough review of the treaty text to determine whether to sign the treaty,” Carney said. “What that timeline is, I cannot predict to you now — we are just beginning the review process, so I wouldn’t want to speculate when it would end.”

The administration says the U.S. already has some of the world’s most stringent arms exports requirements and that the treaty merely requires countries with lax oversight to strengthen their laws in line with U.S. requirements.

“The treaty adopted today will establish a common international standard for the national regulation of the international trade in conventional arms and require all states to develop and implement the kind of systems that the United States already has in place,” Kerry said. “It will help reduce the risk that international transfers of conventional arms will be used to carry out the world’s worst crimes, including terrorism, genocide, crimes against humanity, and war crimes.”

Treaty advocates say it only applies to arms exports and would have no impact on domestic gun rights.

“As the United States has required from the outset of these negotiations, nothing in this treaty could ever infringe on the rights of American citizens under our domestic law or the Constitution, including the Second Amendment,” Kerry said.

It could make it more difficult for Americans to import certain firearms from other countries that join the treaty, however — though that would be the case regardless of whether it is ratified by the U.S.

The American Bar Association points out that U.S. arms importers are already required to share final recipient information with the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms and Explosives.

The treaty stalled last summer after the Obama administration withheld its support ahead of the November elections. Since then, the administration has sought additional language protecting hunting and self-defense rights to help placate gun-rights advocates while pushing hard for the treaty to take effect.

The U.N. panel considering the treaty broke up last week after failing to reach consensus

amid objections from North Korea, Syria and Iran. The Obama administration, which had initially insisted on consensus, joined more than 100 countries on Monday in co-sponsoring the treaty and urging its passage in the General Assembly. The treaty passed by a vote of 154-3, with 23 abstentions.

Treaty advocates mocked opposition from the NRA and its allies in Congress, claiming they were joining a rogues' gallery of countries.

"Iran, Syria and North Korea blocked consensus at the U.N., while the NRA cynically — and ultimately unsuccessfully — tried to erode the U.S. government's support through a campaign of lies about the treaty," said Frank Jannuzi, deputy executive director of Amnesty International USA. "But in the end, the global call for responsibility in the arms trade won out."

He called on Obama to be the "first in line" when the treaty opens for signatures on June 3.

Read more: <http://thehill.com/blogs/global-affairs/un-treaties/291401-un-adopts-obama-backed-arms-trade-treaty-opposed-by-the-nra#ixzz2PkXrB8Fw>

Follow us: [@thehill](#) on Twitter | [The Hill](#) on Facebook

---

If you would like to forward this Newsletter as is on to others – be my guest.

If you would like to send comments to the editor – be my guest.

If you have an editorial to submit – be my guest.

---

Flint Hills TEA Party contact information: [www.flinthillsteaparty.com](http://www.flinthillsteaparty.com); email: [fhttp@flinthillsteaparty.com](mailto:fhttp@flinthillsteaparty.com) or facebook – Flint Hills TEA Party; Manhattan contact – Chuck Henderson, 785-236-1286; Sylva Nichols, editor, email: [sylda@gemsandwood.com](mailto:sylda@gemsandwood.com). Sylva sends the snail mail. Newsletter; Flint Hills TEA Party Snail Mail: Flint Hills TEA Party of KS, 1228 Westloop Place, PMB #326, Manhattan, KS 66502-2840. All donations for the Educational Fund (payable to "Educational Fund")\_will also be accepted at this address and is tax deductible.

---

Reprinting of this Newsletter may be done in whole, however, copying any part requires permission given by the persons listed above.